

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/649,268	08/27/2003	Tetsu Koyama	9448-146US (G0289US)	6436	
570	7590 10/20/2004	EXAMINER			
	P STRAUSS HAUER & ERCE SQUARE	LEE, SUSAN	LEE, SUSAN SHUK YIN		
	T STREET, SUITE 2200	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
PHILADELPI	HIA, PA 19103-7013		2852		

DATE MAILED: 10/20/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

. •					- 4m			
		Applicati	ion No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/649,2	268	KOYAMA ET AL.				
		Examine	r	Art Unit				
		Susan S.		2852				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	ation appears on th	e cover sheet with	the correspondence addre	ess			
A SH THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) or period for reply is specified above, the maximum stature to reply within the set or extended period for reply will reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no encication. days, a reply within the statory period will apply and vill, by statute, cause the ap	vent, however, may a rep tutory minimum of thirty (vill expire SIX (6) MONTI- plication to become ABAI	ly be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. 35 from the mailing date of this comm NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	unication.			
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on .						
	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	 Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) 2-7,9 and 10 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1 and 8 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 							
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.						
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objecti							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the The oath or declaration is objected to be	•	- ·	.	• •			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim fo All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority do 2. Certified copies of the priority do 3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the International See the attached detailed Office action	ocuments have be ocuments have be the priority docum al Bureau (PCT Ru	en received. en received in Appents have been re tele 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National Sta	age			
Attachmen			A) []	mmon/ (DTO 442)	.			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO	D-948)		mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date				
3) 🛛 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PT or No(s)/Mail Date <u>8/27/03 & 1/22/04</u> .			ormal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)			

Application/Control Number: 10/649,268

Art Unit: 2852

DETAILED ACTION

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because on page 38, line 3, "the fresh developer chamber 46" is not consistent with a "fresh toner chamber 46" in line 2 of the same page. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Nakajima (6,363,233).

Nakajima discloses a toner cartridge 1 that reads on the instant invention's developer container including a fresh toner chamber 3 that stores fresh toner therein and a waste toner chamber 4 that stores the waste toner delivered from a cleaning unit.

Art Unit: 2852

The waste toner chamber 4 is within the toner cartridge in a portion that reads on the instant invention's hollow elongated outer body. An inner wall 2c partitions the inner space into the fresh toner chamber 3 and the waste toner chamber 4. The inner wall 2c reads on the instant invention's partition wall. As shown in Figure 2A, a width, in the horizontal direction substantially perpendicular to the longitudinal direction, of the interior of the fresh toner chamber 3 at an arbitrary vertical position along the partition wall 2c is substantially equal to or less than a corresponding width at another position below the arbitrary vertical position in a state where the toner cartridge 1 is detachably mounted in the print cartridge 9 (Fig. 3A) in which is mounted in an electrophotographic printer (note column 1, lines 9-13). Note column 4, lines 26-43; and column 5, lines 13-21.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-7, 9, and 10 are allowed over the prior art of record.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Nakajima (671), Ishida et al., Takai et al., Hayashi et al., and Okamoto et al. disclose art in developing devices or waste toner collecting devices.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Susan S. Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-2137. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri., 10:30-8:00, Second Monday Off.

Art Unit: 2852

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Art Grimley can be reached on 571-272-2136 or 571-272-2800 (Ext. 52). The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Susan S. Lee
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2852